Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 55 Filed 10/01/10 Page 1 of 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAVID BUSH and CHRISTOPHER BUSH

Plaintiffs,

vs.

S.C. ADAMS, LT. BRIAN RUSSELL, (RICHMOND CITY, P.D., VIRGINIA) and KENNETH HILL, STEVEN J. IGNATZ, SERGEANT TRIPP, (PA STATE POLICE), and capa NICOLE BUSH. STATE POLICE), an SARA NICOLE BUSH, a/k/a SERENE ISARA ISABELLA, a/k/a SARA NICOLE MONSERRATE, a/k/a SARA NICOLE BUSH

Defendants.

ACTION NO. 07-4936

ORAL DEPOSITION of KENNETH HILL

APRIL 15, 2010

Transcript in the above matter taken before Cindy Liffman, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of Pennsylvania, at the Law Offices of the Attorney General, 1000 Madison Avenue, Pennsylvania, commencing at 10:00 a.m.

> BUCKS COUNTY COURT REPORTERS, INC. 99 LANTERN DRIVE DOYLESTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA 18901 (215) 348-1173

2	WITNESS	5/01/10 rage 1	PAGE
3	KENNETH HILL		
4	By Mr. Puri	celli	4
5			
6			
7		EXHIBI	T S
8	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	ON PAGE
9	Hill 1	Use of Force Form	n 88
10	Hill 2	Fax	*
11	Hill 3	Letter	105
12	Hill 4	News Print	110
13	Hill 5	Report	140
14	Hill 6	Internal Investiga	tion 187
15	Hill 7	Missing Person Che	eck List 200
16	Hill 8	Petition for Gruber	Hearing 208
17	Hill 9	Petition for Custo	ody 208
18	Hill 10	Memo	218
19	Hill 11	201 Form	224
20	Hill 12	Complaint	269
21	Hill 13	Answer	269
22			
23 24 25			

APPEARANCES

BY: BRIAN PURICELLI, ESQUIRE 691 Washington Crossing Road Newtown, Pennsylvania 18946 Attorney for the Plaintiff

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY: RANDALL J. HENZES, ESQUIRE 21 S. 12th Street 3rd Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107-3603 Attorney for the Defendant

(It is agreed by and between counsel that all objections, except as to the form of the question be reserved until the time of trial.

5 KENNETH HILL having been duly sworn, was 6 examined and testified as follows:

2

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Hill, you were here for the depositions in January, correct?

A. Correct.

BY MR. PURICELLI:

Q. Did you hear the instructions that I gave to those persons that I was deposing that day?

A. I did, sir but I can't say that I recall them.

Q. All right. I'll give them to you again. I will give you a question. And hopefully, it will be articulated in a manner that you can understand it. I would ask you to wait until I finish the question before you answer. I will try my best not to interrupt any of your answers. If I do, let me know because I want for you to be able to answer your questions. If I ask however, a question that you don't understand, please tell me that you don't understand my question. I'll try and rephrase it. MR. PURICELLI: We do have the normal

case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 55 Filed 10/01/10 Page 2 of 7 A. I spoke with my attorney. I spoke with Sergeant 1 2 RAN yeah. That is fine. Tripp. I spoke with Corporal Wheeler. 3 MR. PURICELLI: What we just agreed to is 3 Q. When did you speak to Tripp? that all objections are received except for two that can 4 A. Yesterday and this morning. be raised here. One is as to the form of a question. 5 ó Q. Who initiated the conversation? 6 The other one is privilege. 6 A. Who initiated the conversation? I did. 7 7 If Randy was to argue or raise an objection Q. And why did you speak to Tripp? 8 as to privilege, we will try to find out what it is and 8 To verify my thoughts. 9 we'll avoid the question. You don't have to answer. 9 Q. What thoughts? 10 Unless Randy specifically tells you not to answer the 10 A. Concerning the appearance by David Bush at the 11 question, you'll answer all the questions. 11 Mansfield Station, I believe in August, with the 12 If Randy raises an objection to the form of 12 materials that he provided to us. 13 the question, his interpretation of the question may 13 Q. He being David Bush? 14 have more than one meaning, we'll try to rephrase it if 14 A. Correct. 15 you don't understand it. If we have a common 15 Q. You were not present when David appeared at any 16 understanding of a question, I'll ask you to answer it. 16 time at the Mansfield Barracks; is that correct? 17 You generally don't have a right to turn to 17 A. Correct. 18 18 your attorney during your deposition and ask questions Q. Why did you need to talk to Tripp about that? 19 whether you should or should not answer or on how to 19 A. Why? Because I felt the need to find out what 20 answer the deposition, similar to being on the stand. 20 occurred. 21 21 You're asked a question. You answer it to the best of Q. Okay. Did you have any knowledge before 22 your information, knowledge and belief. Don't guess. 22 yesterday speaking with Tripp about that, those visits? 23 If you don't know something, don't answer it as if you 23 Did you have any knowledge? Did you read something 24 had knowledge. Tell me it's a guess. Tell me you are 24 because we know you weren't there. Did you read 25 estimating but don't answer it as if you have knowledge. 25 something about those visits before? 8 1 So, don't guess. Your counsel is not going to want you 1 A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Any reason you couldn't go back and reread to quess. 3 If you don't know something, if you don't 3 whatever it was you read before? recall something, it's perfectly all right to say you 4 4 A. I did reread what I read before. 5 don't recall something. 5 Q. Why do you need to have a conversation with 6 If during the deposition you recall the 6 another person about an incident you knew nothing about 7 7 information that you didn't remember before, let us personally? 8 8 A. Because I felt that need. know. We can go back and you can let us add that 9 information. 9 Q. Okay. Tell me why. 10 Anything you want to add? 10 A. I don't know that I can tell you why I felt that 11

MR. HENZES: No.

MR. PURICELLI: Okay. Read and sign?

MR. HENZES: No.

MR. PURICELLI: Do you have any questions,

15 Mr. Hill?

12

13

14

18

19

20

~1

24

16 THE WITNESS: No.

17 BY MR. PURICELLI:

> Q. Okay. All right. Prior to coming here, did you speak with anyone about what might be asked of you at this deposition?

> > MR. HENZES: In respect to his counsel?

MR. PURICELLI: Well, he'll say your

23 attorney. I'm not going to ask any questions. Did you

speak with anyone about what might be discussed or

25 conducted during this deposition today? 11 need.

15

16

18

19

12 Q. Prior to speaking with Trip, let's strike that

13 question.

14 What did Tripp tell you?

> A. That he confirmed what I understood and what was written in the report.

17 Q. Which was what?

> A. That Mr. Bush appeared in August at the Mansfield Station, dropped off the various items that he did.

20 Q. What was the struggle in your mind to have to

21 know that he showed up in August to drop materials off?

22 A. I don't know how to answer that. I felt the

23 need. I wanted to talk to him about that issue.

24 Q. Is that the only thing the two of you talked

25 about, that August visit by Mr. Bush to drop off

2

3

8

- A. Yes.
- Q. And the materials would have been the information related to the missing children?
 - A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Was that the only thing the two of you talked
- 7 about related to this case?
 - A. It's best of my knowledge, yes.
- 9 Q. You said you also talked to Wheeler, I thought?
- 10 A. Corporal Wheeler.
- 11 Q. And he is from where?
- 12 A. Mansfield.
- 13 Q. And when did you talk with him again?
- 14 A. Yesterday.
- 15 Q. And why did you talk to him? Who initiated that
- 16 conversation?
- 17 A. I did.
- 18 Q. Why did you initiate that conversation with
- 19 Wheeler?

20

- A. I wanted to confirm some thoughts in my mind.
- 21 Q. What were the thoughts in your mind?
- 22 A. He and I conversed at some point during the
- 23 investigation.
- 24 Q. That simply, the two of you had talked?
- 25 A. (The witness nods head in the affirmative.)

- Filed 10/01/10 Page 3 of 7 A. I thought I just did. Q. You said that in a conclusionary form. Tell me
- 3 what words he used.
 - A. I don't recall the exact words.
- 5 Q. In your best recollection since it was just
- 6 yesterday, tell me what he told you?
 - A. He confirmed the fact that we had a discussion
- 8 concerning the investigation.
 - Q. Specifically, he said what?
 - MR. HENZES: He told you he recalls
- 11 specifically.
- MR. PURICELLI: That is a fair enough
- 13 objection.

7

9

10

12

19

20

21

5

10

16

23

- 14 BY MR. PURICELLI:
- 15 Q. The point is, it's just yesterday. Are you 16 telling me that Corporal Wheeler yesterday said yes, we 17 did talk about the district attorney or did he use other
- 18 words?
 - A. I think that's the gist of the words he used. To try to lay them out exactly would be problematic. I remember those are the gist of the words he used.
- 22 Q. As problematic as that may be, I need to know. 23
 - MR. HENZES: He just told you. You two can
- 24 go back and forth and she can make a lot of money but...
- 25 BY MR. PURICELLI:

10

- 1 Q. During which investigation?
- 2 A. The IAD investigation.
- 3 Q. That would have been related to the Christopher
- 4 Bush complaint about Tripp?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And what was it that you were struggling
- 7 with? Whether you did or didn't have conversations with
- 8 him?

5

6

- 9 A. No. I thought I did. I just wanted to confirm
- 10 in his mind that he recalled the conversation.
- 11 Q. What conversation do you believe you had with
- 12 him?

13

21

- A. We discussed various points in the investigation,
- 14 a conversation with the District Attorney, the District
- 15 Attorney's involvement.
- 16 Q. Okay. And did you have any conversations
- yourself with the District Attorney of either county? 17
- 18 A. I did not.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what, if anything, did Corporal
- 20 Wheeler tell you yesterday?
 - A. Confirmed our conversation.
 - Q. Is that all he said, yes, you did talk?
 - A. No. I think he probably used more, more words
- 24 than that. That was the conversation.
- 25 Q. Tell me what he told you?

- 1 Q. It was only yesterday, Mr. Hill.
 - 2 Now, in your lifetime, have you had any
 - 3 difficulty recalling events as they occurred for long
 - 4 periods or short periods?
 - A. Absolutely.
 - 6 Q. All right. When did you first recognize your
 - 7 ability to recall events that happened within a short
 - 8 period of time, say, within the last twenty-four hours,
 - 9 start to become a concern to you?
 - A. It's never of a concern to me.
 - 11 Q. When did you first notice your ability to recall
 - 12 what people told you, specifically a day before, in a
 - conversation that you started, you couldn't recall what 13
 - 14 they said, only the gist of what they said?
 - 15
 - A. I think I can say that for a lifetime, to be able to remember word for word verbatim, a conversation with
 - 17 somebody I had a conversation with three two to three
 - 18 hours previously, I've never been able to do.
 - 19 Q. Would that be true then for either a short period 20 of time, like, twenty-four hours versus a long period of
 - 21 time, like, two years?
 - 22 A. I'm sorry. One more time.
 - Q. Your notation that you struggled with the ability
 - 24 to recall what people tell you word for word, okay, if I
 - 25 understand your testimony, you said you noticed that

your entire life? Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 55 Filed 10/01/10 Page 4 of 7

9

12

13

15

16

18

21

A. Yeah. Unless I write down a conversation or take notes of a conversation, to be able to recall that conversation word for word within twenty-four hours, I don't think I could do and do it with accuracy if you compared what I said and what is on a tape recorder.

Q. Would that be true that you neither wrote notes of yesterday's conversation or recorded them?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Did you review anything to prepare for today's 11 deposition?

12 A. Yes.

1 2

3

ó

6

7

8

18

1

3

6

8

9

17

18

19

-1

13 Q. Okay. Aside from the two individuals we talked 14 about, you talked to no one else. True?

15 A. My attorney.

16 Q. I'm not going to ask you anything about your 17 attorney. What did you review to prepare for today?

A. Many items contained in that folder.

19 Q. Well, I don't know what items you brought to this

20 deposition. Why don't you tell me what you brought to

21 the deposition?

22 A. Would you like me to go through?

23 Q. Sure.

24 A. My testimony at the arbitration hearing.

25 Q. Okay. 2 MR. HENZES: The title? 3 MR. PURICELLI: The title. 4 THE WITNESS: Use of Force Complaint, 5 Complaint, Reception, Processing. 6 Correspondence to Mr. and Mrs. Bush from the

7 District Attorney, Tyler County, dated April 26th, 2006.

8 BY MR. PURICELLI:

Q. Is that the one for the grandparents?

10 A. I don't know who Mr. and Mrs. Bush are.

11 Grandparents, I would assume.

Q. Attached to that was, appears to be a CLEAN record with some drawings and sketches and markings?

14 A. Yeah, yeah.

Q. Okay.

A. Another copy of Chief Duffy's letter.

17 Q. July 31st or is that the one from Ignatz?

> MR. HENZES: Ignatz. THE WITNESS: Ignatz.

19 20 MR. PURICELLI: December?

THE WITNESS: June 6th, 2007. The

22 assignment report completed by Trooper McDermott on

23 10/23, 10/23/2006, correspondence from Lieutenant Hile

24 to me dated July 21st, 2007.

25 Another copy of that.

A. Correspondence to Newtown Township Supervisors.

2 Q. Are those the July 31st correspondence?

A. Correct, complaints, a verification completed by

4 Christopher Bush.

5 Q. What is known as State Police 301 Form?

A. No, sir. It's the State Police 1-108, Complaint

7 Verification.

Q. Okav.

A. Correspondence to Detective Bush.

10 Q. What was the date of the correspondence?

11 A. July 31st. Court order issued on 23, October

12 2006.

13 Q. From what court?

14 A. Luzerne County.

15 Q. Correspondence from Tony Ignatz to Chief Duffy.

16 MR. HENZES: Dated?

THE WITNESS: June 6th.

MR. HENZES: What year? You said June 6th.

THE WITNESS: '08. I'm sorry, June 6th of

20 2007.

BY MR. PURICELLI:

Q. Which?

23 A. 4/25. 101 submitted by Detective Bush to IAD,

24 dated 11/19/06.

25 Q. Just for the record, can you identify what the 1 BY MR. PURICELLI:

2 Q. 24?

3

12

A. 4/25.

4 Q. 4/25?

5 A. 4/25. The incident report dated 1/31/06

submitted by Trooper Wizner. 6

7 Q. Is that in regard to the contact with Mrs. Bush?

8 A. That is in regards to the investigation that he

9 conducted regarding the complaint made by David Bush.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. Various Lexis-Nexis material.

Q. Which would be what?

13 A. The Lexis-Nexis material, Primary Municipal

14 Police Jurisdiction, Statewide Municipal Police

15 Jurisdiction, Sections AI52 and AI53, Title 42.

16 Q. These particular two documents, who did the 17 research for that, the Lexis-Nexis, the legal research

18 base, right?

19 A. The office of the Chief Counsel provided them to 20

me.

21

23

Q. And when did you contact the office of Chief

22 Counsel to ask them to do legal research for you?

A. I think it was prior to the January depositions.

24 It was prior to the January depositions, if I recall

25 correctly.

Q. Can I see the documents, please? 17 Document 55 Filed 10/01/10 Page 5 of 7 2

A. The dates of which I'm not sure.

It's up to my attorney.

MR. HENZES: Yeah. Go ahead. He has asked to look at public records.

THE WITNESS: Actually, I don't think I'm the one that made a request for the research. I think it was my counsel and my attorney requested OCC and the meeting with them.

MR. PURICELLI: We're going to ask about that in a second.

12 BY MR. PURICELLI:

1 2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

Q. You were saying that you think that this legal research was asked by someone else?

A. Yes.

Q. Who?

A. Mr. Henzes.

MR. HENZES: I'm just going to object to any of the contents of the conversation.

20 BY MR. PURICELLI:

> Q. So, it's true then you had this discussion about this legal research with Chief after the litigation against you had already been initiated?

> > MR. HENZES: You can answer that question.

THE WITNESS: I had a -- yes. That would be

A. No.

3 Q. All right. So, if I understand, you don't have

any other legal, right?

5 A. Do I have any other legal? Can you repeat the 6 question?

7 Q. Sure. I want you to fully understand this question. Let me give you the framework so you know where I'm coming from. This is not a guess.

10 There are privileges that I told you about. One 11 is attorney-client. I'm sure you know about that?

A. Yes, right.

Q. You go to an attorney and you seek legal advice, it's limited advice, legal advice, not just chitchat, a discussion between you and an attorney is privileged.

16 I'm not entitled to know about it.

A. Okay.

12

13

14

15

17

21

24

5

18 Q. So, you were speaking or at least present in

19 Chief Counsel office, correct?

20 A. Correct.

Q. I am assuming from my questions, please tell me

22 if I'm wrong? 23 A. I got that.

Q. That you were in there because you were seeking

legal advice about some legal matter?

18

1 true

BY MR. PURICELLI:

Q. Okay. Not talking, not discussing the matters with Mr. Henzes, did you go to Chief Counsel's office and make the request for this particular research?

A. No. I was in the Chief Counsel's office when the request was made.

Q. Oh, I see. Okay. I see. Not to interfere with the attorney-client privilege so, you were in the Chief Counsel's office to speak to Mr. Henzes about this lawsuit, yes or no?

A. Correct.

question.

Q. Okay. Don't tell me what the two of you talked about.

MR. HENZES: That assumes that's in the

MR. PURICELLI: Well, if it wasn't about this case, I'd be free to ask about it. That is why I

18 19 asked, so I know.

MR. HENZES: No, not necessarily. We could have other matters.

MR. PURICELLI: Let me clear that up so we

know if it's a privileged area or not. I'm sure it is. 23

24 BY MR. PURICELLI: 25

Q. Do you have any other legal matters that would

1 A. Correct.

A. Correct.

2 Q. I don't need to know what it is, but I'm 3 assuming, tell me if I'm wrong, that legal matter was

4 this case?

6 Q. I wanted to know, based outside Mr. Henzes'

7 information that there could be other things whether

8 there was anything else that would have put you in that 9 room speaking with Mr. Henzes or even the Chief

10 Counsel's office, that would have dealt with any other

11 legal matter you needed advice on? 12

A. No.

13 Q. All right. Now, during that conversation, during 14 that presence, was it you doing the talking to the Chief

15 Counsel Mr. Henzes?

16 A. I believe it was Mr. Henzes about this issue.

17 Q. About this case or this issue?

18 A. This issue.

19 Q. The two cases that cite 8952 and 8953, correct?

A. Correct.

21 Q. Do you recall the date?

22 A. No.

Q. But it was before the January deposition?

24 A. I believe that was, yes.

25 Q. And that was January 2010?

20

- A. Correct. Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document 55 Filed 10/01/10 Page 6 of 7 departments in these disciplinary matters for the Bush
- 2 Q. Do you recall approximately when those cases,
- 3 those two research topics were given to you?
 - A. That day.
 - Q. The same day?
- 6 A. Right.

8

- 7 Q. Who gave it to you?
 - A. I believe it was passed around from the Chief
- 9 Counsel who produced it to me, handed it to me. Whose
- 10 hands it came from, I don't recall.
- 11 Q. Who was the Chief Counsel present?
- 12 A. Kelly Neary.
- 13 Q. Is that N-E-A-R-Y?
- 14 A. I think so, yes.
- 15 Q. Randy will laugh because he knows I'm a terrible
- 16 speller.

17

- MR. HENZES: Fortunately, it's well
- 18 documented.
- 19 MR. PURICELLI: Yes, it is.
- 20 BY MR. PURICELLI:
- 21 Q. All right. We were going through your pile of
- 22 what you reviewed before today's deposition?
- 23 A. GI reports submitted by Trooper Fultz dated
- 24 6/4/07.
- 25 Q. Regarding the CLEAN Investigation?

- matter?
 - A. Never.
- 4 Q. Never meaning before or after. Would that be
- 5 fair?

3

6

9

11

12

- A. I never acted and testified, to my recollection,
- 7 to a police arbitration hearing other than the Detective
- 8 Bush matter.
 - Q. Have you testified for the purposes of the
- 10 Pennsylvania State Police Bargaining Units?
 - A. In an arbitration?
 - Q. Yes.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And have you, other than for the purposes of the
- 15 Pennsylvania State Police Bargaining Grievances,
- 16 Collective Grievance Decisions, Arbitration Decisions
- 17 for any local police officers who are grieving a
- 18 dismissal or punishment or discipline?
- 19 A. I may have read grievances, other grievances that
- 20 may have been related to PSP labor issues. I have --
- 21 it's very possible I may have read them.
- 22 Q. You have no direct recollection of ever having
- 23 done that before?
- 24 A. I may have.
- 25 Q. I understand you may have but I ask, do you have

22

- 1 A. Yes. Court order from Luzerne County, dated
- 2 6/23/06, correspondence from me to the Director IAD,
- 3 dated August 1st, 2007.
- Q. That would be the clearance letter for Trooper 4
- 5 Tripp related to the complaint by Officer Bush?
 - A. Sergeant Tripp, yeah, yes.
- 7 Q. I apologize, Sergeant Tripp. That document?
- 8 A. Same thing, another copy.
- 9 Q. Okay.

6

11

17

1

- 10 A. Another copy of my letter to Detective Bush.
 - MR. HENZES: Dated?
- 12 MR. PURICELLI: July 31st?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Copy of the
- 14 arbitration award from Newtown Township Benevolent
- 15 Association of Newtown Township.
- 16 BY MR. PURICELLI:
 - Q. Do you know where you got that document from?
- 18 A. I don't recall, sir.
- 19 Q. Why would you have an arbitration decision of a
- 20 local arbitration?
 - A. It was relevant for the case that I testified in.
 - Q. You were a witness in that case for Newtown
- 23 Township, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Have you acted as witnesses for local police

- 1 any specific direct recollection of doing it? 2
 - A. As in a specific case?
 - Q. Yeah, that you can recall right now?
- 4 A. Not that right now.
- 5 Q. Okay. And you don't recall who gave this to you.
- Why do you have it, other than the fact that you were a
- 7 witness to testify? What interest do you have in the
- 8 decision, outcome?
- 9 A. Because I testified, it was relevant to this
- 10 case.

17

20

3

- 11 Q. Okay. How did you find it relevant?
- 12 A. Because it covered the same matters we're
- 13 discussing here today.
- 14 Q. Whether he got his job back or didn't you believe
- 15 is important in this case or at least relevant?
- 16 A. Relevant, yeah.
 - Q. In what way, in your mind?
- 18 A. Because it covered the same material we're
- 19 covering here today.
 - Q. The decision?
- 21 A. The material that was, the decision rested upon
- 22 was based on the same material we're covering here
- 23 today.
- 24 Q. How would the arbitrator's view of that evidence
- 25 help you in determining what you did or didn't do in

3

4

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

Case 2:07-cv-04936-MAM Document, 55 Filed, 10/01/10 Page 7 of 7 That

A. I'm sorry. One more time.

Q. I'm trying to find out, Mr. Hill, why an arbitrator's decision of his view of the evidence you believe would help you answer questions about what you did or didn't do?

MR. HENZES: He didn't say that.

MR. PURICELLI: I'm trying to find out why you think it's relevant.

MR. HENZES: He told you. You asked three different ways. He gave you the same answer.

MR. PURICELLI: Absolutely true. That's absolutely true.

MR. HENZES: Maybe you may want to try another topic.

16 MR. PURICELLI: I think he answered my 17 question.

MR. HENZES: He answered your question. Just as much and you say to somebody if you don't know, you don't know, you have to accept what they say. You cannot argue with him, what you're doing. He gave you the answer, that he deemed it was relevant. That is it.

MR. PURICELLI: And I asked the question why. He said because it covers the same material. What material then.

didn't satisfy you.

Clarify your question. I'll try my best to answer.

5 Q. And that's why we're going through this exercise.

I don't understand your answer. So, I'm asking you to

7 be specific. When you say --8

MR. HENZES: You don't understand his

9 answer, I just think you're being a pain in the ass.

10 That is my opinion. You know why we're here. You know

11 what the arbitration is about but she gets to make

12 money. Go ahead.

13 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. The question

14 again?

16

17

18

19

20

2

3

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

15 BY MR. PURICELLI:

> Q. My question was, the arbitrator spoke about a series of events. Are you telling me that you need to know about his discussion of those same events we're going to talk about for some reason?

A. His particular discussion?

21 Q. Yes.

22 A. I think that was all inclusive of the entire

23 record of the arbitration that I recorded, that I read.

24 Q. How did you believe in your mind, an arbitrator's 25 decision was going to help you in this deposition?

26

1 MR. HENZES: He told you the stuff we're 2 here for today.

MR. PURICELLI: I don't know what he means

4 by stuff.

5 BY MR. PURICELLI:

> Q. What stuff, to use your attorney's word, did you believe we were going to cover?

A. The same series of events covered in the arbitration.

Q. Okay. And that is a conclusion. What in your mind are these series of events?

A. The entire affair.

Q. Those are conclusions, Mr. Hill.

MR. HENZES: He wants you to go step by step. Torture him. That is what he wants. Give it to him.

MR. PURICELLI: Right torture me.

THE WITNESS: The complaint.

19 BY MR. PURICELLI:

> Q. The January verbal complaints by Christopher Bush of '06? The complaint by David Bush that his children were missing? What complaint?

23 A. Both of those.

24 Q. Tell me. Don't make me guess.

25 A. I don't understand. I tried to answer your 1 A. I didn't say that was going to help me.

Q. Why did you review it then?

A. Because it was relevant.

4 Q. That brings us back to the table.

A. I don't how better to answer the question,

6 Counselor.

7 MR. HENZES: If anyone knew the relevance, 8 we wouldn't have these evidentiary hearings. In his 9 mind, it's relevant. He determined it to be relevant.

10 MR. PURICELLI: That's why I wanted to know, 11

in his mind, what relevance. 12

MR. HENZES: He told you why. You keep 13 running in circles.

14 MR. PURICELLI: We're building a record for 15 no reason because --

16 BY MR. PURICELLI:

> Q. Let's go to the next document. It's pretty clear on the record what happened here.

A. This is a court order from Virginia.

MR. HENZES: What county? THE WITNESS: City of Richmond. MR. HENZES: Is there a date on it?

THE WITNESS: I'm looking.

24 MR. PURICELLI: Why don't we just use the

25 fax date?